

The date on which your subscription expires will be found on the wrapper.  
The paper will be stopped at that day unless previously renewed.  
No bills or receipt sent to individual subscribers.

# The People.

Agents sending in subscriptions without remittance must state distinctly how long they are to run.  
Agents are personally charged with and held responsible for unpaid subscription sent in by them.  
Only duly elected and approved agents acknowledged.

VOL. VIII-NO. 34. NEW YORK, DECEMBER 18, 1898. PRICE 2 CENTS

## IN LYNN, MASS.

Reasons for its Proletariat to Join the S. L. P.

The Socialist Labor Party, Long Vilified for its Principles and its Name, has Survived Every "Reform" Party Thrown as a Spoke in its Wheels, and, Growing Steadily, Pursues its Undeterred Career.

The Lynn Section, Socialist Labor Party, wishes, at this time of political activity in municipal affairs, to say a few words to the voters of Lynn. We send greetings to the 372 men who voted for our candidate for Governor and to about twice that number who voted for some of the other candidates at the late election. Many of you are not members of the Section, and we give you a cordial invitation to send in your names and become members. By so doing you will strengthen our movement, morally and financially, and help us to do more effective propaganda work with both literature and speakers. The dues are the small sum of 25 cents per month. The Section is growing rapidly and every man who has become conscious of the interests of the class to which he belongs will find its meetings interesting and will experience a sense of satisfaction in knowing that he is doing his full duty by himself and by humanity, in living up to the teachings of the Socialist Labor Party.

You want to see Lynn prosperous. That city is prosperous whose people are well housed, clothed and fed, where want and misery are unknown, and where every man can get a chance to apply his labor, power and have for himself and family the full product of his toil. This condition has not been brought about by any of the parties that have won control in the past. Mr. Ramsdell and Mr. Shepherd are called good fellows by their respective admirers; we do not wish to deny it, but the question for each voter to ask himself is, who and whose interests do they represent?

Do they represent the interests of the large rank and file, the muscle and the brain of our city's population? or do they represent the interests of the idle capitalist few? Are they thinking about how to provide employment for Lynn's unemployed? or about riding into some high position on the backs of the hungry workers, whom they speak of as labor trouble, when immediately after the laborer's votes have put them in office, send the police to cut the same workers for more trivial cause than ever before?

If called upon to decide between a question of shorter hours, better pay and more humane treatment of employees, or larger dividends and extension of franchise for corporations and "the public be damned," where will they be found? Capitalists are class-conscious, i. e., they are conscious of the fact that they, with their superabundance of the good things of life, belong to a different class from the common people, who have only their labor power as their capital. They are conscious of the fact that, because of owning and controlling the machinery of production, their class also owns and controls the workers themselves. They can give or deny employment, and if given can decide how small the fraction shall be which the worker shall receive of the wealth which he produces. Most important of all, they are conscious of the fact that the sure way for them to continue and increase in power as exploiters of labor, is to always have control of the political, the law-making and the law-enforcing machinery. We call on the large body of the common people of Lynn to consider these things and try to become class-conscious as their exploiters. The question is not, can he make a good speech? or is he a good fellow? The question is—

WHOM DOES HE REPRESENT?

## "CONSIDER THE LILIES"



## HOW THEY GROW"

Possibly one of the surest ways to "get something now" is to roll up a large Socialist vote and get labor legislation from the party in power, given you simply to head off your movement. Accept with thanks, but don't be headed off. Continue to vote for the Socialist Labor Party and the Co-operative Commonwealth.

Another trick of our oppressors is to foster a movement which claims to be "just as good," made from the same formula "only more broad and liberal in its tactics." This kind of a party is used to divide and weaken the voting strength of the workers and can be patted on the back, enjoyed and steered by the bitter enemies of Socialism. This can be done either by offers of fusion and endorsement, or by manipulation from the inside, because of its doors being wide open for an increase of membership irrespective of whether the applicant is an honest class-conscious Socialist or not. Such a party is destined to become the refuge of disappointed political heifers, half-brained reformers and labor fakirs; whose occupation is gone, because the due-paying membership of trade unions have decided not to contribute longer to the support of fake leaders, who have denied them the right to use their most effective weapon, the ballot of the S. L. P. The statement has often been made that although the S. L. P. had a good platform and could present arguments that could not be refuted, there was a large amount of prejudice against the name, Socialism, and no advance could be made under that name. Nationalism, Populism, etc., have been the one-time-at-a-time, step-by-step mushroom growths of reform movements, as substitutes for the clear cut scientific plan of revolutionary Socialism.

It appears that the sentiment is changing. We have noticed that the press for the last few days has claimed a Socialist victory at Haverhill, Mass., because some candidates of a "reform" movement called the "Social Democracy" have been elected. We take this opportunity to tell the public that we do not claim the Haverhill election as a Socialist victory. We do not wish it understood that the S. L. P. has added one vote to its count, only those votes which were cast for its own candidates by men who are not ashamed of the name or the tactics of the party. We wish the Haverhill "Social Democrats" success, but we wish to disclaim any connection with them; then if they are found wanting, or if the rocket comes down a stick next year, our party will not get the blame. To our friends in Lynn who are now members of the "Social Democracy" we say come with us. Vote for our candidates. Help our propaganda. If you are Socialists and understand the subject, you must know there is no compromise with wrong, or swerving from duty because of some personal dislikes. Our cause is too grand and the possibilities of the near future too sure for any worker to lag behind or to divide the movement. Join the Lynn Section of the S. L. P. and do your duty. Socialists in power would not neglect the business interests of the community. We would apply a radical cure to the cause of the depression of business, instead of fooling around trying to cure the disease by putting a little salve on an effect now and then. Steady employment and good pay would help our business interests, more than several thousand dollars spent on a carnival. "Well paid workers don't need to be coaxed to buy what they want."

We would favor vitrified brick around post-office corner only when we were sure that every workingman's home had something better than a hard-burned crust in the larder, when every fire was burning bright and

## ALTGELD-TANNER.

A Comparison of Two Dangerous Capitalist Demagogues.

MURPHESBORO, Ill., Dec. 7.—"Birds of a feather flock together." With the object of catching the workingman's vote, ex-Gov. Altgeld, during the Pullman strike, declared he would not "use the militia against the interests of the toilers." Gov. Altgeld's successor, John R. Tanner, worked the same trick, but thought he would work it more effectively than his predecessor. When the mine owners of the Pana and Virden Districts began to import colored labor from the South, the present Governor said, in sum and substance: "I will not use the militia against the interest of the toilers"; or, in his own words: "I will not use the militia to protect imported labor taking the places of honest citizens of Illinois." This flattery and humble confession almost upset the miners' wits, the same as Gov. Altgeld's turned the heads of the Pullman strikers. At the Virden strike, it was known several days in advance that an effort would be made to land the "imported labor" in the mine stockades. It is the Governor's sworn duty to prevent murder and bloodshed. But, instead of forcing the miners to act as pickets about the stockade, he should have detailed the militia in preventing "imported labor" entering the stockade. From the neglect of duty fourteen miners were murdered by the capitalist-hired assassins, and their families—well, Tanner-Altgeld and the rest of the capitalist hirelings don't give two pinches of snuff what becomes of the families of these miners.

But how about this labor-loving Tanner protecting the "lives of the mine owners"? These with him, were dear, precious lives. A few days after his ignoring the lives of these fourteen murdered miners as he would ignore the lives of fourteen cats, he learned that the life of the President of the Virden mine was in danger. With lightning haste he ordered the militia to guard this President while entering the train from the stockade, and to escort him two hundred miles to his home in Chicago.

After the Socialists have time and again demonstrated that the capitalists are a robber class, many of the working class thought it nothing but foolish talk on the part of the Socialists. But when two capitalist lackeys, holding the highest offices in the gift of the little and big capitalists, put their heads together and publicly declare such to be a fact, then surely henceforth the producers of all wealth should never doubt it.

This confession was lately made by Governors Tanner and Altgeld. Gov. Tanner in a speech said that Altgeld and his gang were a set of robbers; that they had looted the State's treasury of \$2,000,000. He proved it beyond a shadow of a doubt. A few days afterward, Gov. Altgeld in a speech replied by saying, "you're another," and he, too, proved it beyond a shadow of a doubt. They both told the "truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth."

From an incident that lately happened in Murphesboro, Ill., I am justified in this conclusion. When Tanner rode through Murphesboro, the miners unhitched his horses and pulled him themselves through slushy streets; and this is the same Tanner, who, the day after he said he would not use the militia against the interest of the miners, a reporter having called on him and expressed surprise that he should refuse to use the militia for what it was intended, answered, rais-

## MIND IN BODY.

Martha Moore Avery's Reasons for Accepting Nomination to Boston School Board.

To the Socialists of Boston.  
Dear Comrades:—Your communication informing me of my nomination for the School Board of Boston is received, for the honor and for the confidence in my ability to serve the party in that capacity I thank you. I here-with accept the nomination.

Correct principles and the best methods of using them are of prime importance in preparing the girls and boys of Boston for the opportunities and responsibilities that the new future will impose upon them. First, the recognition of the fundamental principle that knowledge, not authority, must ever be the aim of life is necessary to free the pupil from the domination of the teacher.

Methods by which men have registered what knowledge has been gained—words, numbers, characters—must be learned in order to gain the modern vantage ground; in other words, the intellectual tools, developed up through the ages to work with must be the free possession of every child.

To recognize, then, to analyze and to register correctly and minutely is the full sum of education. The Socialist Labor party, because of the knowledge of the laws of social evolution set up by economic action, is aware that the working class must become the ruling class; that is, fraternal relationship must be established between man and man, which is only another way of saying that all men must render useful service to society, not service in servile capacity to individuals as the present wage-system makes imperative.

The public school system is already a long way on the road towards fitting children for future citizenship. It is founded upon principles of Democracy.

Boston schools must be improved and expanded to meet rapidly changing economic conditions. No private institution can be allowed to give superior advantages; this is easy of accomplishment because, however great the resources of private persons, the public resources are vastly greater. Exclusive schools, open only to the children of the rich, would thus put to advantage all persons who were educated in them. No child of the poor should be kept from going to the best possible school, or from going to school for any of the many reasons that are now common, and thus be placed at a disadvantage.

The necessary equipment for gaining the best advantage of school life are (after noble buildings generously furnished with modern supplies): good varieties of food, beautiful and comfortable clothing, the human body, that most necessary instrument of use to the intellectual power, kept by the bath and exercise in condition to nicely obey the mental and moral faculties.

That Boston, the Commonwealth, the Nation may have creditable citizens, these requirements must be met in the school life.

Without sufficient food, the brain-force is not equal to the tenacity necessary to study. Boston may easily extend its cooking department to every school: while learning the art of cooking, enough food may be prepared to supply the entire school with one delicious meal each day. To be illly clothed affects detrimentally the entire range of faculties: freedom for the body and beauty is the standard in dress for both health and elegance. Our schools must see to it that justice is done to those children whose parents are unable to procure the dress neces-

sary to the establishment of useful manhood and womanhood. The wholesale manufacture of clothing points plainly to this supply.

Mental friction and unrest, moral degeneracy and collapse is in great measure traceable to want of proper and sufficient bathing. The school must supply the facilities in order to maintain its self-respect. The odor of the average school room is pregnant with animal refuse, thrown off by respiration; this fact alone should be sufficient to convince men, who aspire to a civilized life, that the bath is a necessity in the Boston schools.

To speak of physical culture in a word is a difficult matter. What I would say is that such training as will allow the instant co-ordination of intellectual and physical force at any given piece of work; that conscious and unconscious action of all faculties shall focus at one point, is the underlying principle to be demonstrated. There is much to be done in our schools in this direction. Physical beauty and grace of movement is the natural result of health. By the introduction of the knowledge attained in this department of science we may establish the health of our children rather than, as now, undermining it by our crude demonstration of our lack of knowledge.

The Socialist Labor party demands the abolition of the contract system on all public works. The positive side of this proposition is that a commission be created under which must be done all work of construction and repairing. A commission that shall be composed of men who have a practical knowledge of the work required, not a board of sinucres. The spoils system, which is consequent upon the capitalist system, is impossible of abolition until the working class becomes the ruling class. Then and not until then will politics be taken from the schools of Boston. I remember, too, the demands of the Socialist Labor party that the educational system be extended to the University. In the event of my election I shall do my best to move toward this end along with the advances indicated by this letter which, I trust, correctly estimate the aspiration of Boston Socialists who are guided to their high task by the white light of science and the warm heart of love and devotion to the children of our beloved city.

Fraternally,  
MARTHA MOORE AVERY.

HOLYOKE, Mass., Dec. 14.—After a severe struggle, the Socialist Labor party of this city elected yesterday the Cigarmaker Moritz Ruther Alderman for the 3rd Ward. Comrade Ruther polled 387 votes, and wins with a plurality of 95 votes. The Socialist Labor party candidate for Alderman at Large polled 1,000, and Comrade A. Buckland, the party's candidate for Mayor, 400 votes.

In Holyoke, the S. L. P. went into the election in 1891 for the first time; it then polled 44 votes. Undismayed by this trifling result the organization returned year after year to the strife, coming out with an ever higher poll. More and more local candidates were set up. The party's growth drove the Democrats and Republicans together in 1894 and Ruther was defeated by their combined strength, their candidate receiving 327 and he 250 votes. That year, however, the S. L. P. Councilman for the Ward was elected. After the new chartering of the city and the reappointment of the Wards, Ruther was nominated by the S. L. P. for Alderman at Large; he then polled 1,408 votes. Last year he was again nominated for the 3rd Ward; in that struggle, the capitalist candidate got through with a majority of only 92 votes. Finally, this year, victory crowned our efforts.

## THE VOTE.

Further Official Returns from Several States.

Official Figures from Washington Conform its Magnificent Maiden Total of 1,323—Pennsylvania's Loss of Vote Through Barred Election Frauds—Wisconsin Increases Slightly—Corrected Returns from Rhode Island.

## Pennsylvania Official Returns.

The vote of Pennsylvania for the head of the ticket (J. Mahlon Barnes) is 4,318. Below is the vote by counties for this year and 1897:

County	1898.	1897.
Adams	2	8
Allegheny	361	1,148
Armstrong	4	21
Beaver	15	17
Bedford	2	9
Berk	378	317
Blair	108	72
Bradford	9	24
Burke	54	28
Butler	10	13
Cambria	103	191
Cameron	1	1
Carbon	7	27
Centre	1	3
Chester	28	31
Clarion	3	5
Clearfield	141	101
Clinton	4	13
Columbia	12	10
Crawford	2	11
Cumberland	2	12
Dolphin	4	15
Delaware	8	8
Elk	8	44
Erie	41	8
Fayette	37	20
Forrest	1	2
Franklin	7	3
Fulton	1	2
Green	1	4
Huntington	1	3
Indiana	10	10
Jefferson	9	39
Juniafa	2	1
Lackawanna	141	121
Lancaster	12	10
Lawrence	28	17
Lebanon	12	10
Lehigh	52	48
Luzerne	226	199
Monongah	40	126
Monroe	2	21
Mercer	43	33
Mifflin	1	2
Montgomery	102	70
Montour	1	1
Northampton	39	33
Northumberland	153	125
Orleans	2	1
Philadelphia	904	1,408
Pike	8	9
Potter	2	3
Snyder	228	134
Somerset	1	11
Sullivan	1	1
Susquehanna	5	12
Tazewell	1	1
Union	1	1
Venango	6	8
Warren	5	5
Washington	42	106
Wayne	2	14
Westmoreland	353	232
Wyoming	1	2
York	40	50
Total	4,318	5,048

## Washington Official Returns.

The vote of the State of Washington for the head of the State ticket (Young, Supreme Court) is 1,323. This is the State's first Socialist vote. Below is the vote by counties:

Adams	7	1
Asotin	5	25
Benton	29	15
Chelan	43	154
Clark	33	7
Columbia	9	62
Coville	12	4
Douglas	7	102
Franklin	6	106
Gardner	6	51
Island	6	36
Klickitat	12	41
Kling	159	36
Knap	23	201
Killbuck	12	64
Knap	23	15
Lincoln	47	1,323

## Wisconsin Official Returns.

The vote of Wisconsin for the head of the ticket (Riese, Governor) is 1,477. At the previous election, 1896, Matchett received 1,314 votes.

Out of the 70 counties in the State, Riese polls a vote in 66. These are:

Adams	2	Marquette	7
Ashland	25	Margaret	770
Baron	10	Monroe	2
Bayfield	19	Oconto	10
Brown	4	Oneida	7
Buffalo	12	Outagamie	21
Burnett	5	Ozaukee	5
Calumet	8	Pepin	1
Chippewa	4	Pierce	7
Clark	5	Polk	10
Columbia	4	Portage	22
Crawford	2	Price	23
Dane	14	Racine	19
Dodge	4	Richland	7
Door	4	Rock	11
Douglas	31	St. Croix	7
Dunn	1	Sauk	7
Eau Claire	7	Sawyer	2
Florence	7	Shawano	11
Fox de Lac	7	Sheboygan	69
Greene	5	St. Joseph	7
Green Lake	12	Taylor	7
Iowa	5	Trempealeau	4
Jefferson	8	Vilas	3
Jacobs	8	Waushara	3
Kenosha	6	Washington	3
Kewaunee	6	Waushara	3
Lafayette	6	Winnebago	15
Lansdale	3	Wood	15
Lincoln	4	Winnebago	15
Manitowish	17	Wood	15
Marathon	114	Total	1,477

In order to accommodate comrades who wish to subscribe to two or more of the party's organs, we have made arrangements for reduced rates as follows: THE PEOPLE (50c), and the "Tocsin" (50c), both for 90c a year; THE PEOPLE and the "Class Struggle" ("New Charter") (50c), both for 90c a year; or all three (at regular rates \$1.50 for \$1.20 a year. These offers will remain in force until withdrawn by notice in these columns.



## THE PEOPLE.

Published at 154 William Street, New York  
— EVERY SUNDAY. —TERMS TO SUBSCRIBERS.  
Invariably in advance:One Year ..... \$2.00  
Six Months ..... \$1.25  
Three Months ..... \$0.75  
Single Copies ..... 5cAs far as possible, rejected communications  
will be returned if so desired and stamps  
are enclosed.Entered as second-class matter at the New  
York, N. Y., Post office on April 6, 1891.

## SOCIALIST VOTE IN THE UNITED STATES.

In 1888 (Presidential).....	1,000
In 1890.....	18,331
In 1892 (Presidential).....	31,157
In 1894.....	33,183
In 1896 (Presidential).....	86,594
In 1897.....	55,678

Children who read my lay,

This much I have to say:

Each day and every day

Do what is right!

Right things in great and small;

Then though the sky should fall,

Sun, moon and stars, and all,

You shall have light!

ALICE CARY.

## A LEADING CASE.

Much of the space in this issue is taken up with the "Seidenberg Spectre." Let none imagine "such trade union matters too trivial" to deserve so much notice: it is the voice of wisdom that sounds through the Scriptural warning: "They who neglect small things shall be wholly undone." Neither let any turn away in disgust from such "dirty trade union affairs," as well might the anatomist be a closet-man, and, feeling nausea at the foulness of the human body, refuse to handle the decomposing organ.

The trade union movement is an integral part of the Social Question. It is, in the anatomy of the Labor Movement, a navel string, fruitful of good, and yet capable of throttling that which it is meant to feed. It has to be reckoned with; and, to be reckoned with, it must be understood.

To all those whom the spirit moves to go out into the present Social Wilderness, the pioneers and apostles of a New Civilization, every line in the long article "The Seidenberg Spectre" is earnestly commended. The tale it unfolds will enlighten, though it may disenchant. To appropriate its lessons is to secure no mean staff in treading the thorny path that stretches out before them. It is a "leading case" demonstrating the timeliness, wisdom, urgent necessity of the Socialist Trade & Labor Alliance in our movement in America.

## THE HAVERHILL INCIDENT.

A party—carrying the word "Socialist" as part of its name, and with a platform taken substantially from that of the Socialist Labor party—has recently sprung up in Haverhill, Mass., and virtually carried the city, electing its Mayor and several other municipal offices. A stranger, unacquainted with men and things in this country, would, if a capitalist, take alarm, and, if a Socialist, be filled with joy at the occurrence. Both would have been wrong in this particular instance: indeed, the capitalist class, through its press, has shown every thing but alarm; while the Socialists throughout the land have been filled with everything but joy.

The official name of the victorious Haverhill party, as well as its Socialist platform, is a piracy committed by a few political adventurers, who have for some time been trying to be wafted into notoriety and office. They used every "reform" movement that had come along, and finally appeared in the paint and feathers of Populism, preaching all the absurdities and indulging in all the tinsel of that movement. Success for their sordid ambition seemed certain, but the bubble burst, and adventurers were once more stranded.

Long before the tide of Populism had ebbed in the West, it dropped from its high promises in the East, Massachusetts particularly, and there remained above the waters the Socialist Labor party alone, growing out of small beginnings, radiating in all directions, winning friends by its soundness and integrity, overthrowing foes by its vigor. The Haverhill coterie of political adventurers promptly changed coats. The word "Socialism," hitherto sneered at as an un-American, the Socialist platform, hitherto denounced as preposterous, and shown to have that in them that none of the movements that had come up seemed to possess. They adopted both its name and platform (although in Haverhill itself they go by two or three other and less offensive names) and, possessed of the necessary low cunning, they succeeded in drawing to themselves a sufficient following to gain both notoriety and office.

That the leaders of the misled Haverhill workmen, who voted them into office, are no Socialists; that they have only caught a few phrases with which they strut about like the Jackdaw in the fable with the stolen peacock

feathers; that, indeed, they are of the common genus of "reform" adventurers—all that is now made clear enough by their post-election utterances, and will be made still clearer in the near future to those who yet can not see. To those with eyes, however, the character of the Haverhill "Democratic Socialist," "Social Democratic," "Independent Citizens Reform," etc., etc., party have for some time been known. Three facts some time ago stamped it what they are.

In the first place, Mr. James F. Carey, one of the leaders, and elected last year Councilman, is, by the unanimous accord of his Democratic and Republican colleagues, forthwith made President of the body. Democrats and Republicans may not know much, but they surely have the instinct of all animals: they can tell whom to trust and who endangers their existence. They scented safety in Mr. Carey. A Socialist never could have accomplished the feat that Mr. Carey did: a Socialist never would have had distinction, and thereby increased power bestowed upon him by the politicians of Capital.

The second fact attested to the unrighteousness of the scent of those capitalist politicians. Barely a few months in office, when a bill turned up for an appropriation of \$15,000 for an Armory, Mr. Carey voted for it, and his "Socialist organization" allowed the act to go by unopposed, did not demand his prompt resignation for such treason to the working class!

The third fact preceded the second and was preparatory thereto: Mr. Carey withdrew from the Socialist Labor party, of which he was a member, and on whose platform he was elected, and thus freed his hands for the peculiar "reforms" that he had in mind.

In view of all this the mystery is explained: of the joy of the capitalist press at the success of the Haverhill "Socialists": it is in the interest of capitalism, particularly at this season of sound Socialist growth, to seek either to smirch the name of Socialism or cause the American proletariat to be decoy-ducked away from the S. L. P. camp whither they are evidently tending. In the latter case, the lightning will be run into the ground, a successful bogus Socialist party would be a valuable political lightning-rod; in the former case, the workmen may be so disgusted at the treason or incapability of "Socialist" officers as wholly to throw up the sponge of resistance.

But neither case will happen. The vigorous repudiation of the "Democratic Socialism" of Haverhill on the part of the S. L. P. will break the lightning in two, and will also free the S. L. P. of all responsibility for the pranks and the wrongs of the Haverhill victorious candidates. Eventually, if not sooner, and starting with Haverhill itself, the proletariat will realize that its party must be a party, not of irresponsible political pirates, but the well-knit Socialist Labor party of the land.

The 32nd and 33rd Assembly Districts, Branch of Section New York, will have its first lecture of the season at Sylvester Hall, 119th street and Second avenue, Sunday, Dec. 18, 8 p. m., with Arthur Keep as the speaker.

Comrade Thos. E. Hickey, of Brooklyn, will visit Philadelphia on December 17 and 18, and will address two meetings—one at Kensington Labor Lyceum, Second and Cambria streets, on the evening of Saturday, the 17th, and the other on Sunday evening, the 18th, at Central Labor Lyceum, Sixth and Brown streets. The State tour of Comrade Hickey is now being arranged, and will be published in THE PEOPLE as soon as completed.

## Atgeld-Tanner.

(Continued from page 1.)

ing his index finger at right angle with his cheek of duplicity: "Why, don't you know, young man, we have three companies ready to quell any disturbance that may arise in this strike? Don't you know that fifty soldiers with five gatling guns can clean out 5,000 strikers?"

But in justice to these miners, this degrading act was not originated by them, but by the labor fakirs. Though it has only 4,000 population, still it is infested with the labor fakir, who unite toolers against the capitalists in a strike, but divide them against the capitalists at the ballot box—divide them into two capitalist parties, the Democratic and Republican.

C. R. DAVIS.

## In Lynn, Mass.

(Continued from page 1.)

every child and mother was singing with contentment. Bring about such conditions in our city and the business interests of Lynn will take care of themselves. Once more we call upon the people of Lynn to lay aside prejudice and to think and act with the only party which has got a common-sense programme for the administration of affairs, by voting for all the candidates of the Socialist Labor party and by becoming members of the Lynn Section. Yours for Liberty and Happiness,

LYNN SECTION, S. L. P.

The numerous calls that have come in for the New Bedford speech "What Means This Strike?" published in these columns a few weeks ago, has determined the National Executive Committee to reprint it in pamphlet form. It can be had at the Labor News Company, 64 E. 4th street, this city. Single copies, 5 cents; 10 copies, 30 cents; 100 copies, \$2.50.

## LITHOGRAPHERS, ORGANIZE!

In THE PEOPLE of October 30, the undersigned organization published a call headed "Lithographers, Attention." The statement of facts and principles made and the plan of organization outlined therein, have since met with adverse criticism. It is said that there is no need of improvement and organization in lithography; that the organization proposed by us is impracticable; that the interests of capital and labor ARE identical; and that the introduction of inventions gives the workers more employment, especially in lithography. In addition, our membership is charged with a belief in the efficacy of the trust; and a disregard for the political and religious beliefs of our opponents. This organization welcomes such criticism, as it gives the organization an opportunity to propagate its plans and principles.

That there is a need of improvement in lithography will be apparent upon examination of the list of strikes given elsewhere in this article; and upon recollection of the many complaints of bad conditions heard on all sides. That there is need of an organization composed of all branches, is apparent from the failure of the most recent of those strikes (the artists' and feeders); and the presence of large numbers of unorganized. A few years ago, the Tariff Committee of the National Lithographers' Association (Employers), stated that the number of persons employed at lithography in this country was 17,302. Say 7,000, or 40 per cent. of them cannot be organized, on account of non-sympathy, or peculiarity of employment, are the other 10,000 organized? Every well-informed lithographer knows that large numbers of artists (both commercial and theatrical, engravers, designers, stone-grinders, embossers, and even many feeders, transferers, proofers, and pressmen, not to mention girls and women, do not belong to any trade organization whatever. He knows, furthermore, that there is no form of organization uniting the branches best organized—the feeders and the printers; or, uniting those branches and the labor movement in general; or uniting the national organizations of those branches and "The International Federation of Lithographers." To say, under the foregoing circumstances, that there is no need of improvement and organization in lithography, is to betray either an ignorance of facts, or a willful determination to utter falsehoods regarding them. The same may be said also of the criticism of our plan of organization; for every well-informed lithographer knows that that plan is in practical operation in Europe to-day.

In substantiation of this assertion, we refer every lithographer to "The Report of the First International Congress of Lithographers," held in London, in 1885. On page 13 of that report appears the report of the delegates from Germany, the Mother of Lithography. From this delegates' report, we learn of the "Trade Society of the Graphic Arts, of Workmen and Workwomen of Germany," having branches in 129 towns, with over 4,700 members. The Society comprises nine various branches, or craft, as follows: lithographers, printers, colotype printers, stone-grinders, stampers, or embossers (male or female), zinc printers, copper-plate printers, stereotypers, and wall-paper printers." On page 15, the same delegates' report, is this statement: "We stand firmly on the basis of the modern Labor Movement." In other words, they are Socialists. Austria, France, Switzerland, Italy, and England, also report organizations uniting all or many branches in one society; while Austria, Italy, and some societies of France, are, along with Germany, outspoken advocates and adherents of the Socialist Labor parties of their respective countries. All these societies have no trouble in uniting and promoting the interests of all branches. The numerical strength of their membership is constantly increasing; while the economic condition of their membership is gradually improving. Thus, we see that the plan of uniting various branches in one society; and, in turn, affiliating that society with the lithographic societies of Europe through "The International Federation of Lithographers" and the Modern Labor Movement through the Socialist Trade & Labor Alliance, will not end in disruption and disaster as our critics contend it will. On the contrary, since there is no such superb organization of lithography in this country, as there is in the Socialist countries of Europe, we are justified in stating that the impracticable plan is not the one we propose, but the one that has been in vogue in this country prior to the birth of this organization. All of the organizations represented in the above-mentioned congress, are, like the organizations of this country, composed of employees, for protective and beneficial purposes. If our critics are correct in assuming that the interests of capital and labor are identical, then why are not these organizations mutual benefit organizations? Why do they protect the employee against the employer? Or, on the other hand, why do they (the employees) not join their employers' associations and seek protection and benefits there?

That the interests of capital and labor are not identical has been repeatedly shown in strikes and other forms of combined resistance in lithography in this country. We refer to: The Printers' Shorter-Day Strike, Philadelphia, 1886; Transferers' Strike against employment of girls, Buffalo, 1891; Engravers' Strike, for same reason, Cincinnati, 1892; Artists' General Strike for abolition of piece-work, regulation of apprentices, minimum wage, payment of time and a half for overtime and reduction of weekly hours, New York, 1896; Feeders' Strikes, three in number, for increased wages, New York, 1897-98; and many small strikes of pressmen, proofers, etc., for minimum wage, New York, during the past two years. Also the abandoned attempt to introduce the teaching of art lithography at the Drexel and Pratt Institutes, Philadelphia and Brooklyn respectively, by the employers, who, in 1881, and again in 1894, proposed an attempt that was quietly and determinedly opposed by the artist employees, who said its success would overstock an already crowded market. Likewise, was the abandoned attempt to introduce boys in the transfer room in New York, this year; an attempt

that met with the quiet and determined opposition of the transferers. Thus we see, from this open and diplomatic use of the compulsion of organization, that the interests of capital and labor are not identical. And, since the profits of capital must be realized by the exploitation of labor, since in other words, the employer's gain is the employee's loss, the interests of capital and labor will not be identical as long as capitalism prevails. If this is not so, then what reason can be given for striking for shorter work days; and against girls and boys in the transfer and engravers' room; and piece-work in the artists' room?

The old sophism that those strikes were undergone for the benefit of the ENTIRE craft is ridiculous, in view of the fact that the most powerful part of the craft (the employers) vehemently protested against being "benefited" in that manner. That this is true, will be soon demonstrated once more; as the employers are again organizing for the purpose of bringing about "much needed reforms."

The exploitation of labor by capital is rendered easier by the introduction of new inventions, which permit of the sub-division and intensification of labor, and, consequently, decrease the demand for labor, or, in other words, increase the demand for employment. If, as our critics contend, inventions create a demand for labor, why are the organizations of this country and Europe especially striving, by means of strikes, for shorter work-days; and why do they find it necessary to aid the unemployed by means of out-of-work benefits, traveling loans, employment bureaus, etc.? One would think, if the argument is valid, that the demand for labor is so great that the work-day ought to be lengthened, instead of shortened!

Again, why, if their contention is true, are there from 2 to 5 millions of unemployed in this country—the country of invention? And why doesn't that number decrease, instead of increase from year to year? The sweeping declaration that workmen have nothing to fear from inventions, is flatly refuted by the opposition shown by transferers, proofers, and other workmen, to the introduction of inventions. Every informed person knows that they endeavor to render such inventions impracticable and worthless. This organization is in possession of facts that demonstrate the truth of the above in the case of two lithographic inventions.

Now, let our critics show us, a lithographic organization that demands the lengthening of the work-day; that offers lithographers prizes for increased outputs; that wants boys and girls employed; that favors the teaching of art and other lithography—all in order to meet the demand for labor due to inventions—and then we'll capitulate. Let them also show how marvelously quick the unemployed are disappearing in the U. S. with the advent of new inventions, and then we'll capitulate again.

The use of inventions in industry demands large capital for their purchase and operation. This capital is very often not possessed by single individuals or partnerships. Stock corporations only can meet the financial requirements. These stock companies have thus become an economic necessity. Moreover, these stock corporations are often formed of a number of individual firms or separate partnerships; who, through their corporate organization, are enabled to close superfluous factories, save useless clerical and other labor, and, in many ways, reduce the cost of manufacture; or, in other words, demonstrate the economic value of co-operation. To oppose such corporations is to deny their economic necessity and value; and to evince a reactionary and injurious public spirit. Such corporations are inevitable steps in industrial evolution; and it is the duty of every thinking man to see that the good they accomplish, in the use of invention and combination, redounds to the benefit of society and not of their capitalist stockholders. This can only be accomplished by making them social, instead of capitalist property. In that way will the exploitation of labor for profit end. Our opponents do not take this view of the matter. They say that Protection or Free-Trade will break the trust. They say this despite the fact that the trust is proven an economic necessity of economic value. They say this despite the fact that Free-Trade England and Protection Germany alike present the same capitalist phenomena that this country does; and that, consequently, their remedy is no remedy at all. Now, since we believe the views of our opponents are injurious, reactionary and false, are we not justified in showing them no regard? Is it an evidence of sanity or sincerity to avow a high regard for doctrines one believes fatal to social progress? No; of course not; hence, we cheerfully plead guilty to the charge that we have no regard for the political views of our opponents. As for the religious beliefs of our opponents we have nothing to do with them. This organization welcomes Christian, Jew, Buddhist, Confucian, with the same spirit of solidarity. As we have never uttered the contrary, in this or any other document issued by our organization, we charge our critics with a deliberate intention to create a religious prejudice against us. He who pleads for religious rights, ought to be careful to be religious, i. e., truthful and honest, himself first. This our critics do not do, hence their plea for religious belief is hypocrisy and fraud, and deliberate malice. Lithographers, a few earnest words, in conclusion. If you would know what we are, read Socialist literature. We will send you such literature upon communication with us. Join our organization, also, in the end.

With fraternal greetings.

PROG. LITHO. ALLIANCE,  
23 Duane street, City.

The English translation of Karl Marx' "Eighteenth Brumaire," that recently ran through THE PEOPLE, is now to be had bound in an elegant volume of 78 pages, with Marx' picture as frontispiece. This work is of great value. No Socialist, even though he be no student, and no student, even though he be no Socialist, can afford to be without it. Apply Labor News Co., 64 E. 4th street, N. Y. City. Price 25 cents.

The receipt of a sample copy of this paper is an invitation to subscribe.



## Uncle Sam &amp; Brother Jonathan.

Brother Jonathan—I hope that after all the troubles between Labor and Capital, at Panama, Virleu, Hazleton, Cleveland, etc., people will act sensibly.

Uncle Sam—Amen.

B. J.—This is just the time to enforce the idea of arbitration.

U. S.—What?

B. J.—I mean compulsory arbitration.

U. S.—Worse yet.

B. J.—Would you have employers and employees fall together by the ears eternally and keep the whole country in commotion?

U. S.—Not I.

B. J.—Why, then, not arbitrate?

U. S.—Because there is nothing to arbitrate, and if there were, arbitration would be no good.

B. J.—Impatiently—Do you mean to side with those bloody-handed employers?

U. S.—Not I.

B. J.—Are they not grasping, grinding reprobates?

U. S.—Most assuredly.

B. J.—Then there IS something to arbitrate.

U. S.—Who produces all wealth?

B. J.—Labor.

U. S.—Has any of the capitalists concerned in these labor troubles ever done a stroke of useful work?

B. J.—Not a stroke.

U. S.—And yet millions upon millions are in their possession?

B. J.—Wrongfully, for that reason we should have arbitration.

U. S.—Are they entitled to anything?

B. J.—To not a thing!

U. S.—Who is entitled to it all?

B. J.—Why, we, the workers, of course.

U. S.—And yet you think there is something to arbitrate? Is there anything to arbitrate between a footpad and the robbed?

B. J.—No.

U. S.—To offer arbitration is to condone crime. There is either justice in robbery or there is none. Either an employer may skin his workers all he can, or skinning must be stopped altogether. There is no middle way.

B. J.—Granted; but even so, would not arbitration relieve the situation some?

U. S.—Not a bit.

B. J.—Would it not prevent excessive skinning?

U. S.—Not a particle.

B. J.—Suppose a Board of Arbitrators finds that a company is doing good business, and that the reason it gives for reducing wages is false—

U. S.—What then?

B. J.—Then—

U. S.—Yes, then—

B. J. hesitates long.

U. S.—You seem to have struck snag, eh?

B. J.—Then the Board would give decision and condemn the company.

U. S.—And the company might enforce the decision framed over the matter.

"Words; words; words."

B. J.—Would it mean nothing else?

U. S.—Nothing else. The Board could not compel the company to operate its plant. If the Company wanted, it could shut down and starve its workers into submission; and then they would come back and sue for work, and the Company would triumph.

—"condemnation" by the Board or a condemnation.

B. J.—Is there, then, no way to enforce the decision of the Board?

U. S.—None whatever against the Company or capitalist; a decision against the workers could be enforced; the capitalists hold to-day the Government with its military and naval forces can always be used to aggravate the situation of the toiler and enforcing arbitrary decisions against them, but they will not be used against the employer, and could not be used without upsetting the system of private property in the means of production.

B. J.—Then upset the system!

U. S.—That is the only thing to do. Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!

Let her rip!



# THE SEIDENBERG SPECTRE.

Only a few years ago, when, had as things were, the condition of the cigar-makers and its unions in this city was better than it now is, and, a conference of some officers of the International Union being called here to see what could be done to improve matters, one of the conferees, who suggested that the label be withheld from all firms that did not adhere to the Union's rule, was well-nigh mobbed. His suggestion was considered as down-right treason to the Union, "because," as one of the exasperated holders of "long-filler jobs" among the Label Committee put it, "what man is there that we could grant the label to?" The man was right. His and his fellows' alarm for the Label Committee job attests to the fact that the shop hardly exists in New York where a strike could not be justified under the union rules. Essentially the same state of things, only in an aggravated degree, prevails to-day. The Seidenberg factory was, and continues to be, no exception to the rule. Why was it chosen and at that particular season, March of this year? The answer to the "why?" will be found in the answer to the question, How was the strike conducted and under what circumstances?

## THE CASE SKETCHED.

On February 10 of this year the Pioneer Cigar-makers' Union, Socialist Trade & Labor Alliance No. 141, was organized at 48 Avenue C in this city out of men who refused, either to continue in, or to join a body whose perverse leaders were reducing the benefits to be derived from a labor organization, while, at the same time, they were increasing the burdens of the rank and file by a shower of extra assessments, and higher dues, together with higher salaries for themselves. The new organization was founded amid the wild yells and protests of Rudolph Modest, of No. 90, David Heimerdinger, Brown, and some ten other approved labor fakirs of the International Union, and notorious enemies of the Socialist Labor party, who were present and threatened, then and there, to smash the new Union by striking in the first shop where the Pioneers should appear. Several of the charter members of the Pioneers worked at Seidenberg's; they promptly carried on an agitation in the shop; and before long their membership there had risen to thirty-one. This was the status of things when, on Monday morning, March 14, the Pioneers, going to their work, suddenly ran up against the International Union pickets, who, with Isaac Bennett as leading man, blandly informed them that the shop was on strike. (See Documents III. and IV.) The Pioneers asked "why?" they were told "against a reduction of wages"; they were astonished at that, having heard nothing about a "reduction" when they left the shop the Saturday previous. They declared their willingness to aid in resisting a reduction. If there were any, but they asked why they had not been consulted, being a bona fide organization; why they had been ignored; etc., etc. (Document IV.) Unable to get any satisfactory but only shuffling answers, and, being seized with a vague sense of foul play, they decided to enter the shop anyhow and find out. There they found out that, with the exception of their own floor, indeed the whole factory was out on strike. Hurried consultations were held, and they appointed a committee to go to the strikers' meeting, ascertain the cause of the strike, and, if found to be on a matter of wages, to offer the Pioneers' assistance and co-operation. At the meeting they again encountered Isaac Bennett. He was presiding. He refused them a hearing (Doc. III.), although many of the strikers wanted to hear them; and, denouncing them as "scabs," Bennett hammered them down and out of the meeting. The Pioneers then sought information elsewhere. By some of the strikers they were told the strike was for higher wages (Docs. II. and VIII.); by others they were bluntly told it was against themselves; and still others admitted frankly they knew not what the strike was about. In this confusion, the Pioneers walked out of the shop within an hour of having entered it, having in the interval done not a stroke of work; never returned to it (Doc. IV.); and fell back upon their own organization for instructions. At a special meeting, held on the 18th of the same month, their Union adopted resolutions reviewing the situation and asking D. A. 49, S. T. & L. A., with which they are affiliated, to call a mass meeting of the strikers to ascertain the facts (Doc. I.). The meeting asked for was called for the very next day at Bohemian Hall. Besides advertising it prominently in the "Volkszeitung," it was advertised by over 1,000 handbills, containing the resolutions of the 18th, thoroughly distributed among the strikers. At that meeting not one of the strikers appeared; Isaac Bennett had ordered them not to attend. Despite that, the Pioneers continued out of the shop. On Monday, two days later, the strike was "settled." The demand for higher wages, upon the promises of which the "Spanish workers" had been inveigled into striking, was abandoned; not a cent higher wages was obtained by any of the strikers; but quite a shower of dues and initiation fees was cropped by the International Union, and THE PIONEERS WERE EXCLUDED FROM THE SHOP (Docs. II. and VIII.).

The strike started on March 14 and ended on the 21. Beginning with A DAY BEFORE the strike started, and down to the day it ended, Isaac Bennett raved against the Alliance men as "scabs"; they were "scabbing" BEFORE the shop was on strike; they were "scabbing" when they went, Monday morning, the 14th, into the shop to find out; they were "scabbing" when they were sitting idle in their homes, and not a dog or cat was in the shop, the whole place being locked up. The "Committee of No. 90" which will presently step upon the scene, charging its shoulders as to Bennett's shuffling the Pioneers with "scabbing" before the shop was on strike and afterwards, when they were not in the shop, sought, on May 31, before the National Executive Committee, to justify Bennett's calling the Pioneers "scabs" and, therefore, not receiving

their Committee on the morning of March 14, on the technical ground that "It was a Union principle with which only practical trade unionists were familiar, and which learned men, such as Editors, could not comprehend," that if a shop is on strike any man who goes into the shop, especially if warned by the pickets, is looked upon as a "scab." This principle, thus sweepingly put, is false. One thing it is when men, whether members of a bona fide organization or not, who are strangers in a shop, brush by strikers' pickets and enter the shop, whatever the pretext may be; and another thing, however it is when men, members of a bona fide organization and at work in a shop, are one fine morning, without previous notice or consultation, suddenly informed that their shop "has been declared on strike against a reduction," refuse to accept the statement of what to them are FOREIGN AUTHORITIES, and go into the shop to ascertain the truth for themselves. The former may be; and another thing, however, it is when only one circumstance under which in the latter case, the term "scab" is hurled at such men, to wit, when the organization that uses the term declares war against the organization whose members it thus seeks to "gitmatch"—in other words, WHEN THE STRIKE IS AGAINST THE OTHER ORGANIZATION.

Nor does the force of this argument escape the officers of No. 90. Indeed, they admit its correctness by the very tenacity with which they insist that "nobody knew" there were Pioneers at Seidenberg's when the strike was declared. The Committee of No. 90 so insisted before the N. E. C., on May 31, and another officer of the Union so declared it (Doc. VII.). Granted, for the sake of argument, that this was so. Then Bennett found out his mistake, or lack of information, when, on the morning of March 14, his pickets encountered the Pioneers going to work. That certainly was police enough. Why, then, was the Pioneers' Committee refused admittance and a hearing by him???

## CONFIRMATORY CIRCUMSTANCES.

Two other circumstances combine to demonstrate that the strike at Seidenberg's was actually against the Alliance.

On Friday evening, March 25, Isaac Bennett being present at the regular meeting of the 28th Assembly District Branch, S. T. & L. P., of which he was then a member, and the Seidenberg strike being under discussion, he was there asked, in full meeting, this question: "Is it true that you have been going around declaring that the only object of the activity of certain members of the party in D. A. 49 of the K. of L. was 'to give Comrade Sanial a job' on the K. of L. Journal?"

The witness of his having done so was present; Isaac Bennett looked around, saw him, and brazenly answered: "Yes, it is true; and it is so; that was your only object"—and more to the same effect.

This ignominious interpretation of the arduous and valuable work of party members in the K. of L. has been the interpretation that, for nearly three years, every labor fakir and foe of the S. T. & L. P. has been howling over and harping upon from one end of the country to the other. It is unnecessary to refute it, least of all is it here the place to go into that. Yet, what does the fact of Bennett's taking up that cry during the strike mean, and thus assailing men all of whom, at least as yet, had neither directly nor indirectly taken their stand on the strike; men, who, at the time he was taking up the cry, did not even know that such a strike was on? The second of the two circumstances above referred to as combining with this one to demonstrate that the Seidenberg strike was actually against the Alliance, will help to answer the question.

From the inception of the strike, and all along in his declarations, Isaac Bennett has laid much stress upon "the Pioneers having, under the guidance of Seidenberg's Superintendent Pisco, organized into their union the suspended or expelled members of the cigar-ettemakers." What is the sense of the charge?

The cigar-ettemakers, working at Seidenberg's, composed a sister Local Alliance of the Pioneers. It was represented in the then D. A. 1 (Central Labor Federation), while the Pioneers are represented in D. A. 49. On the very Monday when the strike started, March 14, the report in the "Volkszeitung" of the meeting of D. A. 1, that had taken place on Sunday, the day before, stated that a "Special Committee" of this Cigar-ettemakers' Union "was granted the floor and complained that D. A. 49 and L. A. 141 (the Pioneers) had organized its suspended members into an L. A. and they had been recognized by Superintendent Pisco." At their first meeting upon that, March 17, the Pioneers appointed a Committee to the cigar-ettemakers to demand that the false charge be retracted. (1) At the following meeting of the Pioneers, their Committee reported that the Cigar-ettemakers' Union denied all knowledge of any such communication to D. A. 1; their delegates had made no such report; they had appointed no Committee to make any such complaint; and THAT BOHM, THE SECRETARY OF D. A. 1, MUST HAVE MADE ANOTHER MISTAKE. Not satisfied with any such explanation, the Pioneers ordered their Committee back with instructions to insist upon a retraction. (2) At the following meeting of the Pioneers, no retraction having yet appeared in the reports of D. A. 1, they ordered their Committee to again repair to the Cigar-ettemakers' and may that, if the retraction was not made at the very next Sunday meeting of D. A. 1, charges would be preferred against them before the General Executive Board, S. T. & L. A. (3) At the next Sunday meeting of D. A. 1 the Cigar-ettemakers' Union retracted the charge, saying that "the report made by a Committee, according to which it was alleged that D. A. 49 had organized 17 of their expelled members into the

(1) Pioneers' minutes, March 17.

(2) Pioneers' minutes, March 24.

(3) Pioneers' minutes, March 31.

Pioneer Cigar-makers' Alliance, was false, and had not been authorized by the Union." (1)

Who were the "Committee" that had made the false report to D. A. 1? To this day, it has not been possible to ascertain their names. Did such a "Committee" ever exist? If so, who manufactured it?

The facts in the case are that, one day, among a batch of applicants for membership to the Pioneers, there were 17 expelled or suspended members of the Cigar-ettemakers'. Before their admission, the fact was discovered, and they were rejected. Not yet having any "application cards," the Pioneers had been using their "membership cards" as such. Thus the names of these 17 men DO appear on 17 Pioneer "member cards." But D. A. 49 has the stamp system of dues, AND NOT A STAMP APPEARS ON ANY OF THOSE 17 CARDS, thus proving that none of those 17 men was ever admitted to membership. Despite repeated explanations on this head, and thoroughly understanding the matter, Isaac Bennett has been using those cards, which, so far from proving his point, attest, by the absence of dues-stamps, to the fact that the men never were admitted, and he has gone about seeking to hoodoo the unguarded with such "proofs," even after the official retraction by the Cigar-ettemakers' Union itself.

## THE CONSPIRACY.

The conspiracy against the Pioneers was elaborate. A cloud in the eyes of the public and of Alliance organizations themselves was to be raised over D. A. 49, with whom the Pioneers were affiliated; the scabish act of taking up expelled members of sister bodies was to be imputed to them; to pile on the agony over the D. A. that had dared to encroach upon what the fakir Officers of the International Union look upon as their special field to fish in, ignoble purposes had to be imputed to active party members, even if by so doing even Comrade Sanial, wholly disconnected from the whole affair, had to be dragged, along with them, into the mire. And above all scabishness was, under all circumstances, to be fastened upon the Pioneers themselves. When the plan of the strike was being hatched, they, a bona fide Union, known to be in Seidenberg's shop, were kept wholly in the dark, and thus a net was spread to catch them when, under the pretense of "union rectitude," they could be made out "scabs" in the eyes of the unthinking; and to give greater show of truth to the charge, the fakirs stood back, but the man picked out to lead in the dirty work and thus inflict a blow upon the whole New Trade Unionist movement, ay, upon the party itself, was one who had long been considered an adversary of the International Union's fakirs, an upholder of New Trade Unionism, and of its contentions as to the worthlessness and injuriousness of the "International Union's pure and simple," a party man, a member of the progressive Union No. 90—ISAAC BENNETT.

## CAUGHT IN HIS OWN TANGLE.

Isaac Bennett reckoned without his host. The Seidenberg strike was not allowed to drop into oblivion, it rose a spectre to plague him. He found it necessary to explain in writing; he begins by calling upon witnesses (Doc. III.); but his witness goes back upon him (Doc. IV.); he then seeks to disengage himself, only to throttle himself tighter (Doc. V, first part); and, finally, wishing to escape the charge of having given false testimony, he recklessly tries to throw upon others the odium of untruthfulness (Doc. V, second part) and succeeds only in tangling himself faster; surely, if, as he argues in the second part of this document, the men who went into the shop, despite the warning of his pickets, lied when "they gave themselves out as members of the Alliance," then, upon what ground did he on so many occasions, at the already mentioned session of the 28th Assembly District Branch, S. T. & L. P., among others, denounce "the Alliance men," "the Pioneer Cigar-makers" as "scabs"? Which of the two statements is false? The two statements can not both be true. But in trying this last dodge, Bennett is no less unsuccessful. What purports to be the official declaration of his own Union over the signature of one of his own fellow Officers, admits that there were "3 Pioneers" at Seidenberg (Doc. VII.). In trying to minimize Bennett's wrongful action, and reducing 31 Pioneers to 3, Groeninger here admits enough to convict his associate. Whichever way Bennett turns, he is tripped.

Pitiable is the spectacle presented by Isaac Bennett, and yet instructive withal, so instructive as to compel its complete presentation—however much one's heart may be wrung in the doing of deed. Bennett felt, as so many others before him, tempted by the corruption funds of the International Union. The increased difficulties of the struggle for an existence had swept away most of his customers; he gave up manufacturing, and took up the business of Label Committee, Strike Committee and Picket Duty with their \$3 and \$5 a day revenue; in the conflict between Principles and a Living, the Principles went by the board, all the easier, as the freedom to use phrases would remain untouched and the downfall could thus be veiled—and Bennett thus dropped to the level of cat's paw for the labor fakirs of the International Union. Whither Bennett is now drifting in the conflict between his personal needs and his duty to the working class has more recently been illustrated by an action that called down upon him the severe censure of his own Union No. 90. (2)

## No. 90's OFFICERS NOW STEP UP.

Spiked by Katz (Docs. IV. and IX.), strangled by himself and a fellow officer (Docs. II., III., IV. and VII.).

(1) Report of C. L. F. D. A. 1 in "Volkszeitung" of April 4, and in THE PEOPLE of April 10.

(2) Bennett is a member of the Strike Committee. For months a strike had been on against the cigar manufacturing firm of Bondy & Lederer. The strike seemed hopeless, and the Union's men being anxious to go back to work, he was ordered by his Union to vote to call the strike on. Their interests and his own ran foul of each other. Their interests demanded work, and that meant the calling off of the strike. His interests also demand work, but "work" with him, is not in the shop but as picket, etc., and that meant to keep up the strike. His interests carried the day. Despite his instructions, he did not vote as ordered. On this account his Union, No. 90, passed a vote of censure upon him on last Oct. 9.

and unexpectedly pursued by the spectre that his action had conjured up, Bennett fled for refuge behind the Officers of his Union—No. 90. As the fakirs had sought to conceal their rascality behind the until then fair name of Bennett, so did he now seek to cover up his wrongdoing behind the reputation of the Officers of his own Union for progressiveness and devotion to Socialism. The first step in this direction was the pulling of wires to secure a white-washing resolution in his own behalf (Doc. VI.). With that performance, the officers of No. 90 step on the scene, and keep it until, in turn, they themselves begin to slide off by trying to shove their Union into the fight—their Union which, as will appear, was and remains innocent as the unborn babe.

On May 31, a Committee of Union 90, consisting mainly of Officers thereof, appeared before the National Executive Committee, S. T. & L. P. It came with two suggestions, both springing from the "Seidenberg Spectre" publications in THE PEOPLE.

The first was that the Editor of THE PEOPLE should not concern himself with local affairs; the Committee spoke German. It used the term "Lokal Angelegenheiten," to meddle with such affairs, the Committee claimed, was to fritter away energies on small matters, and to cause heartburnings that impeded the propagandistic work of the Socialist comrades in the Unions; the Editor of THE PEOPLE could busy himself with national affairs only.

The Committee was asked whether it did not realize that in the Social question all "local affairs" had a national bearing and vice versa, all "national affairs" affected local spots; it was asked to explain where local affairs ended and where "national" ones began; it was also asked to specify what it meant by "affairs" (Angelegenheiten).

In the course of its answers it became clear that by "Angelegenheiten," the Committee meant the Labor Fakir; it used the word "Angelegenheiten" merely as a euphonic term; so clear, indeed, did this become, that they were asked to illustrate; was, for instance, Mr. David Heimerdinger (the labor fakir, who assisted Isaac Bennett as a picketman in his crusade against the Pioneers in this locality, and who two years ago made a fakir tour of the whole country), was he a local or a national "Angelegenheit"?

The Committee dropped that line of argument, and still using its euphonic term, "Angelegenheit," for Labor Fakir, proceeded to suggest that the Editor of THE PEOPLE might limit his operations: to the "Angelegenheiten" of such "Unions as No. 144 and others of the International Cigar-makers' Union" in this city; the Committee would not find any fault with that; but the Editor of THE PEOPLE should not disturb the "Angelegenheiten" of such a progressive body as Union 90, because, if he did, the progressive members, like the Committee-men, would find their propagandistic work made very difficult.

The N. E. C. rejected this whole line of suggestions as radically defective, whatever special meaning was attached to the term "Angelegenheit."

The second suggestion of the Committee was that the Editor of THE PEOPLE should exercise greater care in the acceptance of communications. A letter had appeared in THE PEOPLE of May 22, signed by Joe Cohen and Jack Brittan (Doc. VIII.). The Committee was of the opinion that the Seidenberg strike being over so long ago, its resuscitation now by that letter could have no effect other than to assist the firm of Bondy & Lederer against whom a strike was now on; if the Editor of THE PEOPLE would exercise greater caution he would not commit such a blunder.—The file of THE PEOPLE was fetched; it was shown to the Committee that the Cohen and Brittan letter could not be said to "resuscitate" the Seidenberg strike, seeing that ALL ALONG articles and letters had been appearing in THE PEOPLE, since the settlement of the strike, under that very title of "The Seidenberg Spectre," the object being not to allow that misdeed to fall into oblivion. The Cohen and Brittan letter was read and the Committee were told that only if the letter contained false statements could fault be found with it; that, however, it tallied with facts published officially by the Union itself (Doc. II.) and with other facts; and that, therefore, if, indeed, it affected the Bondy & Lederer strike injuriously, the fault lay, not with the letter or its publication, but with the Strike Committee for having been guilty of such crimes against Labor; the Committee were reminded of the incident when Lassalle, being arrested for alleged inflammatory writings, reminded his judges that, not his "writing," but the "facts" were inflammatory, that he could not be held responsible for them, that THEY must suffer who were responsible.

The Committee declared that the Cohen and Brittan letter was essentially wrong; its gravamen lay in the charge that Bennett and the whole Strike Committee had deceived the "Spanish floor" workers with promises of securing higher pay for them through the strike; the charge was a false suggestion; learned people, like Editors, could not be as accurately informed upon the union rules as practical workers; and the Committee proceeded to explain that, true enough, the Union Constitution forbade the striking for higher wages at the season when the Seidenberg strike broke out, but that, if a strike is declared against a reduction, then "individual" demands can always be tacked to the original demand for higher wages, included; only that then, if the other demands are complied with by the employer, the strike may not be prolonged for higher wages without forfeiting International protection (Doc. XIII.).

The Committee's attention was called to a fundamental error in their argument against the correctness of the main charge in the Cohen and Brittan letter: one thing it is to drop the "individual" demands for higher wages that are tacked to demands allowed by the Union, when men are anyhow on strike against a reduction, and another thing it is to induce men to come out on strike, as the "Spanish workers" had been induced, on the promise that their wages are to be raised, and then drop their demands; the former act was not fraudulent, the latter was a fraud upon the men; Bennett and the Strike Committee had committed this fraud on the "Spanish workers"; as to all the

other people on strike, "individual" demands may have been made with propriety for higher wages and dropped, seeing that, with them, the strike was ostensibly against the reduction said to be implied in the establishment of the "Kelly floor"; as to the "Spanish workers," however, they were in no way threatened or affected by the "Kelly floor," consequently they could have gone out only upon a demand for more pay, all the more as they were not members of the Union; that was the lure held out to them; a swindle was practised upon all the more galling to them, as, not only did they not get what they were promised if they struck, but, by the terms of settlement, they had to join the Union and thus make outlays for initiation fees and dues; they had been cheated into fighting against themselves, and lost their jobs besides—a not unusual affair with fakirs' "settlements."

The Committee was then driven from one false statement after another; they first declared Cohen and Brittan to be "notorious scabs and non-union men"; being promptly met by the Editor of THE PEOPLE, who showed that Cohen and Brittan were members of the International Cigar-makers' Union, the Committee then declared that Brittan and Cohen were "expelled members"; being again shown that that was false, one of the Committee of No. 90 came forward with the admissions that Brittan and Cohen WERE members of the Union and that they WERE NOT expelled, but that just then they were "suspended" and that Brittan traveled under a false name; and, finally, being asked what his true name was, the Committee said that he signed himself "Jack" Brittan but his real name was "John" Brittan, thus revealing that the Committee either did not know that "Jack" stands for "John," or that it tried to impose upon the N. E. C. (Doc. XVI.), upon all of which the N. E. C. decided that in the particular case complained of all reasonable care had been exercised in the acceptance of correspondence by THE PEOPLE.

## No. 90's REJECTED COMMUNICATIONS.

On the morning of the same day that the Committee of No. 90 appeared before the N. E. C. with its suggestions and complaints, it forwarded to THE PEOPLE a communication purporting to be an answer to the Cohen and Brittan letter. The communication contained libelous matter, seeing it imputed a dishonorable alias to Brittan, and, furthermore, bore no signature other than "The Committee," thus, if published, rendering THE PEOPLE liable without defence. On this ground, as set forth in the Letter Box of June 3, THE PEOPLE declined to publish "The Committee's" answer. From that time on, No. 90's officers left THE PEOPLE aside and addressed itself direct to the N. E. C. It there appeared three times.—June 7, July 12 and Nov. 22—with communications demanding their publication in THE PEOPLE, and was denied each time.

Documents X. and XII. were successively refused publication by the N. E. C., because the first contained not the remotest attempt at a refutation of the charges of Cohen and Brittan, and the second, or amended one, was essentially no better. Both ran away from the real end-burning points raised by Cohen and Brittan, and that helped to show the desperate tricks adopted at the Seidenberg strike to give it a color of legality and thereby conceal the conspiracy against the Pioneers that lay at its bottom. The two communications of No. 90's Committee sought to avoid the point and overcome it by inference in an attempt to discredit the characters of the writers (Cohen and Brittan). But the Committee defeated their own purpose by overshooting their mark: Their endeavor to make Cohen and Brittan out to be scab agents for Bondy & Lederer, claiming them to have offered the girls on strike "nice and sweet things," besides "new dresses," if they went to work, and then to have CONFIDED TO THE GIRLS THAT THEIR OWN (COHEN AND BRITTAN'S) REWARD BE "A FOREMANSHIP AND \$50," produced peals of laughter from the workmen on the N. E. C.; not so as Cohen and Brittan were claimed to have acted) are scab-agents went to act; however profuse they may be in promises of "nice and sweet things" to strikers, it is not in the nature of the scab-agents' work to confide to the people they are working upon the "nice and sweet things" they themselves are to be rewarded with; not so, for instance, did Mr. David Heimerdinger, Isaac Bennett's associate in the Seidenberg strike, act when, in 1887-88, he officiated as scab-agent for the firm of Simon Bros. in this city (Doc. XV.).

No. 90's Committee itself realized the fishiness of this charge, all the more seeing that Cohen and Brittan had been engaged by the Strike Committee itself to jolly the Bondy & Lederer girls on strike, and, consequently, their promises of "nice and sweet things" were probably true without their being Bondy & Lederer's agents. So weak, indeed, did No. 90's Committee itself feel on this point that it felt the need of buttressing an otherwise sufficient charge with the charges of "non-unionism," "scabism" and the bearing of an alias against Cohen and Brittan—all of which were shown to be false by the N. E. C., as previously refuted.

As to Document XII., its publication was denied because it was again an evident attempt to run away from the real point, the point raised in 40 out of the 44 lines of Katz' communication (Doc. XI.), and slurring that over by a seeming and swaggering refutation of a minor point made by him and covering only the 4 last lines, and after all admitted in the main by No. 90's answer itself (Doc. XIII.). The N. E. C. did not deem THE PEOPLE's columns the place for such sort of dialectics.

As to Document XIV., its publication was refused by the N. E. C. because it went off at a slant, and thus, intentionally or otherwise, brought confusion into an issue that, it was becoming more and more evident, would soon have to come to a point, and consequently, should not be allowed to be entangled. As the document itself starts setting out, it was the report of a special meeting of No. 90, held to discuss "the attitude taken by the National Executive Committee of the S. T. & L. P. toward Union 90"; the N. E. C. had been invited to be represented. The issue was "the attitude of the N. E. C.," it was a tangling up of matters to make others the issue, as the document does. At that "meeting of Union

No. 90," out of its 1,384 members, barely 30 were present, the majority of whom was made up of the Officers of the Union, besides men as Karl Arnold, who, always claiming to be a "progressive workingman" and a "Socialist," was caught on the registration roll of Tammany Hall only shortly before, Rudolph Modest, Gillis, and more such specimens of "progressiveness," notorious Debsist-Anarchists and foes of the party. Whatever interest a majority made up of such elements may have had in facing about, the N. E. C. did not care to allow itself to be hoodwinked.

Finally, Document XVII. was refused publication because it carried the barefacedness of falsification beyond the point of endurance (Doc. XVI.).

This last document (Doc. XVII.) closes the second, and seeks to open a third act in the "Seidenberg Spectre," a typical drama of the present stage of the Labor Movement.

## ABORTIVE ATTEMPT TO GOAD No. 90 INTO THE FIGHT.

The Labor Fakirs of the I. C. M. U., alarmed for their blood-money at sight of the organization of the Pioneers, plotted its ruin; yet knowing the disrepute in which they are held in this city, dared not themselves come forward. The First Act in the drama of the Seidenberg Spectre is enacted with Isaac Bennett, a "progressive trade unionist" as the actor. Resting upon the statements of this Isaac Bennett, the fakir C. M. I. U. delegates in this city's fakirs' convective, the Central Labor Union, announced on Sunday, June 3, with full mouths, and could not sufficiently dilate on the announcement, that 31 ALLIANCE CIGAR-MAKERS HAD LOST THEIR PLACES AT SEIDENBERG'S, and the announcement was echoed, and re-echoed in the capitalist press. Here in the city, THAT was the important point; the "scab" charge was, of course, also made, but that was not, here, of prime importance; THE important point here was to make a show of strength against Socialist Unions, and thereby prevent their growth. Outside of the city, the "scab" charge was THE point, and, indeed, from Texas to Maine the Labor Fakir and his press utilized, for all they were worth, the weapon thus placed in their hands against the whole Socialist movement by "even such a progressive trade unionist and Socialist as Isaac Bennett, of Union No. 90." The fakirs' point seemed carried.

But the Seidenberg Spectre rose more ominous, made all the more so by the unbridled glee of fakirdom. Bennett, pursued by the spectre, sought refuge behind the Officers of his Union. These allow themselves to be shoved, like he had been; and, thereupon, the Second Act is enacted (Docs. VII., X., XII., XIII. and XIV.).

Finally, defeated in their purpose, as Bennett had been, several of the Officers then sought to pull up their Union itself on the stage. The claim that Union No. 90 was pronounced "untrustworthy," etc., by the Editor of THE PEOPLE (Doc. XVII.) at one of the sessions of the N. E. C. is a pure fabrication, that the stress of the No. 90's Committee drove them to. (1) But though they thus violently ring the bell for the Third Act, the curtain refuses to rise: So disgusted and disheartened is the rank and file at the contradictory, vacillating and often suspicious conduct of most of their Officers that, though over 1,000 strong, a meeting of 30 members is considered wonderful. The men and women satisfy themselves with paying their dues and assessments, often with tears in their eyes at the evident extortion, but submissive, in order to "save themselves trouble."

The "Seidenberg Spectre" will not down. It rises and sways ominously over the head of Fakirdom. It is a palpitating concentration of this principle, a principle bound to assert itself.

"A STRIKE IS NOT NECESSARILY A PROLETARIAN MOVE. INSTIGATED BY AND CONDUCTED FOR FAKIRS' INTERESTS, IT IS A MOVE IN THE INTEREST OF THE CAPITALIST CLASS. AS SUCH A STRIKE IS THE MOST INSIDIOUS WEAPON OR MANOEUVRE OF CAPITALISM; AS SUCH IT IS NOT ENTITLED TO AID, BUT DESERVES ONLY RUTHLESS OPPOSITION."

## DOCUMENT I.

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED BY PIONEER CIGAR-MAKERS, L. A. 141, S. T. & L. A., MARCH 18.

[THE PEOPLE, March 27.]

WHEREAS, Last Monday, the 14th inst., the employees of the cigar manufacturing firm of Seidenberg & Co., consisting of members of the International Cigar-makers' Union and of others, who are not members, working on the Seidenberg floors of that firm, went out on strike without any demands being presented to the firm by the officers of the International Cigar-makers' Union, who are running this strike; and WHEREAS, The members of this Pioneer Cigar-makers' Union (Local Alliance 141, of the Socialist Trade & Labor Alliance, affiliated with D. A. 49, S. T. & L. A.) who were at work on strike, and those who, consulted, or affected by the strike, left their work early on that same day, and have continued out ever since; and WHEREAS, In a special session assembled, this 18th day of March, request D. A. 49, S. T. & L. A. to call a mass meeting of all the employees of the firm of Seidenberg & Co., those out on strike and those not on strike, to meet on strike, for to-morrow (Saturday), afternoon, at some convenient place up-town to discuss the situation and ascertain from the ad-one-fakir-out-on-strike whether the further continuance of the

(1) The fact on this head is that the Committee finding, contrary to their expectation, that the Editor of THE PEOPLE was not so "learned" to inform himself, and was so thoroughly posted that he forced the Committee to abandon one false statement after another, their member Emil Adam tried a bluff, and blithely asked the Editor of THE PEOPLE: "Do you mean to say that you know more about the N. E. C. than Union No. 90, and that the Union lies?" Whereupon he was promptly answered: "I never said anything to justify the idea that I consider you, all of you, three put together, as the whole of Union 90."



members of the Pioneer Cigar-makers' Union, out of the shop would improve the chances of success of their striking fellow workmen.

## DOCUMENT II.

## OFFICIAL REPORT OF SETTLEMENT OF SEIDENBERG STRIKE.

[The N. Y. "Volkszeitung," March 23.]

Obedient to the call of the Joint Strike Committee of I. C. M. U. the striking workmen of the Seidenberg shop, 71st street and Avenue A, met yesterday afternoon at the hotel turn back 71st street, to consider the report of the Special Committee which was to confer day before yesterday with the representative of the firm. The committee reported that after a five-hour negotiation with Superintendent Plisco, the agreement was reached that the so-called Kelly Floor, where the members of the Pioneer Cigar-makers' Union were working, was to be closed and that in case the floor was opened again it shall stand under the jurisdiction of the I. C. M. U. Further, more, that on all the floors, bunnies and cigarettes of the same sort. As to the higher wages of \$2 per 1,000 of Spanish work, Plisco declared that he could not possibly accept that. The report was received with much discussion. As a protest was raised on the part of the Spanish workers against the agreement on the ground that it did not mand for all workers a \$2 advance, the Special Committee, together with a Committee of the "Spanish floor," to the firm to consider the concession of higher wages. The meeting adjourned at 2 p. m. At its resumption, the Committee reported that, after a long explanation, Plisco had positively declined to grant the demanded increase in that Spanish floor. The members of the Spanish floor, however, had made a contract with two jobbers, according to which it was possible for him to grant the higher wages desirable for him. Thereupon the workers of the Spanish floor held a meeting and agreed in a declaration that they were ready to stop their demand for the present and not to their demand for the other male and female hands any longer from their work. The meeting declared itself satisfied, and the strikers decided to resume work to-day, whereof the firm was notified.

## DOCUMENT III.

[Letter of I. Bennett in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of March 24.]

The troubles in Seidenberg & Co.'s factory are of old date. They were right along started and then again broke out. I, Superintendent, who understood the machinery of his machinations, to render himself indispensable to the firm, on the one hand, and on the other, to give himself support in the eyes of the workmen. I, therefore, was approved himself a useful and subservient servant; towards the workmen he played the role of "good friend" and adviser.

About four months ago when about 50, some of whom had worked there from 12 to 15 years, were discharged because the sort of cigars at which they worked was no longer to be made. The wages for this no longer to be made, the discharged men, mostly old men, found it very hard to get work elsewhere. They were quickly snatched at the proposition made by me to go to the factory and work for me. I had had work for \$1.50, but that he wanted no trouble with the Union; if they would be willing to do the work well and good, "the men" took the work willingly, and got back their former jobs.

Some time later, the Joint Advisory Board received information that this state of affairs had proceeded to move. A Committee—Harris, Wool, Lange and Bennett—betook themselves to the firm. Plisco proposed that he be allowed to employ 500 hands at that work. The Committee declined that, and the men were ordered out on strike about six weeks ago. The strike lasted only three days. The agreement was then concluded, and among other things, first, that the work in question shall be done in the factory only if the full wages are paid there; second, that no cigars shall be made in the factory; and, third, that the men employed shall belong to the Union.

Only a few days later, and Plisco began to seek to break his promise. He took away the men from the factory, and found some who were willing to do the work in question for \$3, and to begin work on Monday, February 28. The cigar-makers' Union, however, decided at their meeting held Feb. 25, to uphold the agreement, and to suspend every member that should accept the work in question. Some of them are of course warned and their attention is called to it that it was against the principles of Socialism to press wages further down. The men who were warned declared they cared not, and would do the work anyhow.

The cigar-makers appointed a Committee to notify Plisco of their decision. He refused to receive the Committee. He then established the "Kelly floor," where the work, that was subject to the reduced wages, was to be done, contrary to the agreement, for \$3.

On March 4, Harris, Bennett and Lange went to Plisco to remind him of the provisions of the agreement, and to call his attention to it that he had broken the same. He declared:

"I have been called a liar; I shall now be one. If you want a fight, I am ready." As the Sub-Strike Committee could not alone take action upon this important matter, it was referred to the Joint Advisory Board of the United Unions of New York, Brooklyn and New Jersey. On the 10th, this body decided to order the factory out on strike on the ground that the agreement was broken. This was to be on Saturday, March 12. Early in the morning, at 7 o'clock, the pickets were there, who notified everyone who came to get work that the factory was closed, and invited them to a meeting at 3 p. m. The men did not want to go into the factory on that Saturday; but Plisco succeeded in driving them in, and he kept them at work till 1 p. m. He then dismissed them with the remark that they should now go to the meeting.

That was done, Bennett, Vice-President of the Joint Advisory Board, laid the matter before the men, and it was unanimously decided to uphold the strike until the reduction was taken back.

ON MONDAY, MARCH 14, IN THE MORNING, BENNETT AND LANGE (UNION 90) AND KATZ (UNION 141) AND ALSO A PARTY MEMBER SPOKE TO SEVERAL OF THE MEN EMPLOYED ON THE "KELLY FLOOR," EXPLAINING THE SITUATION TO THEM. THEY DECLARED THAT THAT DID NOT BELONG TO THE ALLIANCE. THEY WERE TOLD THE principle of Socialism did not consist in underbidding other workmen in the struggle for wages. IT HAD NO EFFECT: THEY WENT TO WORK.

Thereupon, at about 10 a. m., there appeared at the meeting of the strikers a "Committee" from the so-called Kelly floor, among them a man who had been in the morning had received all information from Bennett, Lange and Katz. For this reason, and also because they appeared with instructions from Plisco, their organizer, [they were] not to be received.

It must not be forgotten in this connection that the men employed on the Kelly floor organized themselves at the instigation of Plisco. On March 13, the names were taken at the factory, and they were told that on March 17 they should appear before Pioneer Alliance No. 141 and there receive their books.

These are the plain facts, without ornament or coloring. It is the truth and only the truth; no one, whoever he may be, can gainsay them. If, nevertheless, the attempt is made, then I shall be glad to bring before the party comrades, and at the same time, I promise that I shall be simply, matter of fact, because he, who has truth on his side, does not need to throw mud or to bestow insulting epithets upon others.

With Socialist greetings, I. BENNETT.

## DOCUMENT IV.

[Letter from Rudolph Katz in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of March 24.]

Last Thursday, there appeared under "Voices from the People" a communication from I. Bennett in which my name is used in a manner as though I accepted as true all that Bennett asserts. This is not the case.

On Monday morning, March 14, Comrade Bennett sent me to do picket duty with him and other members of the I. C. M. U. The cigar-makers went into the factory, and I was told they were the members of the

Alliance. Bennett and I spoke to them and advised them not to go into the shop. So far Bennett tells the truth. BUT THE ANSWER THAT WE GOT WAS THAT SUCH AS BENNETT WANTED THAT THE UNION SHOULD BE ABSENT THAT THE ALLIANCE MEN DECLARED THAT THE STRIKE DID NOT CONCERN THEM. WHAT THERE MEN WOULD WILLINGLY JOIN IN THE STRIKE, BUT THEY WOULD NOT LIKE TO KNOW WHY THEY WERE NOT NOTIFIED. WHAT THEY WERE NOT NOTIFIED. THEY HAD BEEN IGNORED BY THE C. M. J. "WE ARE NOT ONLY MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE, ONE OF THEM SAID, 'BUT ALSO MEMBERS OF I. C. M. U.' AND HE PULLED HIS MEMBERSHIP CARD OUT OF HIS POCKET. This happened at about 8 in the morning. At 9 the meeting of the strikers took place and as already reported, a Committee of the Alliance men that appeared there was not admitted. But the members of the Alliance did not then go to work. Wednesday, March 15, I was then told I need not return the next day, although, of all the members of the Committee, I was the only one who could speak the English, German and Bohemian languages, and who therefore could be useful at the meetings of the strikers as well as before the shop.

This happened because the gentlemen of the Strike Committee had discovered, through private conversations that I had with them, that the \$3 a day, that they were paid us, could not buy me. That's why I was no longer wanted. Comrade Bennett also about the strike. He insisted in saying that the members of the Pioneer Alliance were scabs. I said to Bennett that that was not the case. He said that he was in the shop at work, and that they had gone into the shop on Monday but had come out immediately and could not, accordingly, be called scabs.

These also are FACTS, and to them must be added another FACT, that, at the meeting where the strike was ended, Comrade Bennett, who was the English, German and Bohemian, and the biggest fakirs present were the loudest in their opposition to the strike.

## DOCUMENT V.

[Letter of I. Bennett in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of April 2.]

But, Comrade Katz! Is it not always better to proceed logically? To wander from the question can have no purpose if the honest intention exists to bring about clearness; and what the members should have is clearness and honesty. I have cited only once in my objective explanation of matters, NAMELY, WHEN THE KATZ WERE IN THE FACTORY. HE WAS CITED AS A WITNESS ONLY TO GIVE EVIDENCE THAT THE KELLY FLOOR PEOPLE WERE ONCE MORE INFORMED THAT THE STRIKE WAS ON. KATZ DOES NOT DENY THAT.

On March 10 it was decided to call the men out on strike. On March 11, Superintendent Plisco requested the Alliance to appoint a Committee to organize the recently suspended members of the Cigarette-makers' Union. On the same day Secretary Eckstein appeared before the men, and in a speech invited them to come to the meeting of March 17 and fetch their books. FROM THIS IT FOLLOWS THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE NOT STRUCK ON MARCH 12 AND 14. THEY ALREADY GAVE THEMSELVES OUT AS MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE.

## DOCUMENT VI.

The Mills of the Gods Grind Slow, But they Grind Exceeding Fine.

[THE PEOPLE, April 24, 1898.]

The below letter, published in the New York "Volkszeitung" of last Sunday, tells us that the "Volkszeitung" of the 14th Instant, is a report of our Union in which it endorses the action of our fellow-member Bennett.

Although, from the communications to the "Volkszeitung," one may arrive at some other conclusion than Union 90, it is not my purpose to touch that side of the question. I have concluded, however, among other things, first, that the work in question shall be done in the factory only if the full wages are paid there; second, that no cigars shall be made in the factory; and, third, that the men employed shall belong to the Union.

Only a few days later, and Plisco began to seek to break his promise. He took away the men from the factory, and found some who were willing to do the work in question for \$3, and to begin work on Monday, February 28. The cigar-makers' Union, however, decided at their meeting held Feb. 25, to uphold the agreement, and to suspend every member that should accept the work in question. Some of them are of course warned and their attention is called to it that it was against the principles of Socialism to press wages further down. The men who were warned declared they cared not, and would do the work anyhow.

The cigar-makers appointed a Committee to notify Plisco of their decision. He refused to receive the Committee. He then established the "Kelly floor," where the work, that was subject to the reduced wages, was to be done, contrary to the agreement, for \$3.

On March 4, Harris, Bennett and Lange went to Plisco to remind him of the provisions of the agreement, and to call his attention to it that he had broken the same. He declared:

"I have been called a liar; I shall now be one. If you want a fight, I am ready." As the Sub-Strike Committee could not alone take action upon this important matter, it was referred to the Joint Advisory Board of the United Unions of New York, Brooklyn and New Jersey. On the 10th, this body decided to order the factory out on strike on the ground that the agreement was broken. This was to be on Saturday, March 12. Early in the morning, at 7 o'clock, the pickets were there, who notified everyone who came to get work that the factory was closed, and invited them to a meeting at 3 p. m. The men did not want to go into the factory on that Saturday; but Plisco succeeded in driving them in, and he kept them at work till 1 p. m. He then dismissed them with the remark that they should now go to the meeting.

That was done, Bennett, Vice-President of the Joint Advisory Board, laid the matter before the men, and it was unanimously decided to uphold the strike until the reduction was taken back.

ON MONDAY, MARCH 14, IN THE MORNING, BENNETT AND LANGE (UNION 90) AND KATZ (UNION 141) AND ALSO A PARTY MEMBER SPOKE TO SEVERAL OF THE MEN EMPLOYED ON THE "KELLY FLOOR," EXPLAINING THE SITUATION TO THEM. THEY DECLARED THAT THAT DID NOT BELONG TO THE ALLIANCE. THEY WERE TOLD THE principle of Socialism did not consist in underbidding other workmen in the struggle for wages. IT HAD NO EFFECT: THEY WENT TO WORK.

Thereupon, at about 10 a. m., there appeared at the meeting of the strikers a "Committee" from the so-called Kelly floor, among them a man who had been in the morning had received all information from Bennett, Lange and Katz. For this reason, and also because they appeared with instructions from Plisco, their organizer, [they were] not to be received.

It must not be forgotten in this connection that the men employed on the Kelly floor organized themselves at the instigation of Plisco. On March 13, the names were taken at the factory, and they were told that on March 17 they should appear before Pioneer Alliance No. 141 and there receive their books.

These are the plain facts, without ornament or coloring. It is the truth and only the truth; no one, whoever he may be, can gainsay them. If, nevertheless, the attempt is made, then I shall be glad to bring before the party comrades, and at the same time, I promise that I shall be simply, matter of fact, because he, who has truth on his side, does not need to throw mud or to bestow insulting epithets upon others.

With Socialist greetings, I. BENNETT.

## DOCUMENT IV.

[Letter from Rudolph Katz in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of March 24.]

Last Thursday, there appeared under "Voices from the People" a communication from I. Bennett in which my name is used in a manner as though I accepted as true all that Bennett asserts. This is not the case.

On Monday morning, March 14, Comrade Bennett sent me to do picket duty with him and other members of the I. C. M. U. The cigar-makers went into the factory, and I was told they were the members of the

decision without the Union's knowing anything about it. Neither does Mr. Groelinger bring out the fact that the day before the strike, the Union held a meeting, at which there a motion was made to repudiate Max Halter's letter, and that nothing came of the motion. Verily the Seidenberg Spectre is marching on.—[Editor THE PEOPLE.]

## DOCUMENT VIII.

THE SEIDENBERG SPECTRE STALKING ONWARD WITH INTENSIFIED STALK-POOR WORKERS CHEATED WITH FALSE PROMISES.

[THE PEOPLE, May 22 and Sept. 4, 1898.]

TO THE PEOPLE.—As two of the "Spanish Workers" who took part in the late Seidenberg cigar-makers' strike, we desire to give the public some information on what happened there.

Saturday, March 12, in the morning, as we, the "Spanish Workers," were going to the shop to work, we met a Committee of the International Union, which told us the shop is on strike. We, the "Spanish Workers," then said we would attend. Then this Committee, which had just told us there was a strike against Seidenberg, made Mr. Plisco, to tell us there was to be a meeting to be held at 10 o'clock, because Mr. Plisco came and gave us this notice himself.

We attended the meeting. The presiding officer of the meeting was Mr. Bennett. After a long talking he said if anybody had any grievances to state them, and he would see that the "Spanish Workers" then said we wanted \$2 advance on each thousand cigars; he said to the secretary to put that down black upon white. He then asked if there were any "Spanish Workers" who had any grievances to state them, and he would see that the "Spanish Workers" then said we wanted \$2 advance on each thousand cigars; he said to the secretary to put that down black upon white. He then asked if there were any "Spanish Workers" who had any grievances to state them, and he would see that the "Spanish Workers" then said we wanted \$2 advance on each thousand cigars; he said to the secretary to put that down black upon white.

## DOCUMENT V.

[Letter of I. Bennett in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of April 2.]

But, Comrade Katz! Is it not always better to proceed logically? To wander from the question can have no purpose if the honest intention exists to bring about clearness; and what the members should have is clearness and honesty. I have cited only once in my objective explanation of matters, NAMELY, WHEN THE KATZ WERE IN THE FACTORY. HE WAS CITED AS A WITNESS ONLY TO GIVE EVIDENCE THAT THE KELLY FLOOR PEOPLE WERE ONCE MORE INFORMED THAT THE STRIKE WAS ON. KATZ DOES NOT DENY THAT.

On March 10 it was decided to call the men out on strike. On March 11, Superintendent Plisco requested the Alliance to appoint a Committee to organize the recently suspended members of the Cigarette-makers' Union. On the same day Secretary Eckstein appeared before the men, and in a speech invited them to come to the meeting of March 17 and fetch their books. FROM THIS IT FOLLOWS THAT THESE PEOPLE WERE NOT STRUCK ON MARCH 12 AND 14. THEY ALREADY GAVE THEMSELVES OUT AS MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE.

## DOCUMENT VI.

The Mills of the Gods Grind Slow, But they Grind Exceeding Fine.

[THE PEOPLE, April 24, 1898.]

The below letter, published in the New York "Volkszeitung" of last Sunday, tells us that the "Volkszeitung" of the 14th Instant, is a report of our Union in which it endorses the action of our fellow-member Bennett.

Although, from the communications to the "Volkszeitung," one may arrive at some other conclusion than Union 90, it is not my purpose to touch that side of the question. I have concluded, however, among other things, first, that the work in question shall be done in the factory only if the full wages are paid there; second, that no cigars shall be made in the factory; and, third, that the men employed shall belong to the Union.

Only a few days later, and Plisco began to seek to break his promise. He took away the men from the factory, and found some who were willing to do the work in question for \$3, and to begin work on Monday, February 28. The cigar-makers' Union, however, decided at their meeting held Feb. 25, to uphold the agreement, and to suspend every member that should accept the work in question. Some of them are of course warned and their attention is called to it that it was against the principles of Socialism to press wages further down. The men who were warned declared they cared not, and would do the work anyhow.

The cigar-makers appointed a Committee to notify Plisco of their decision. He refused to receive the Committee. He then established the "Kelly floor," where the work, that was subject to the reduced wages, was to be done, contrary to the agreement, for \$3.

On March 4, Harris, Bennett and Lange went to Plisco to remind him of the provisions of the agreement, and to call his attention to it that he had broken the same. He declared:

"I have been called a liar; I shall now be one. If you want a fight, I am ready." As the Sub-Strike Committee could not alone take action upon this important matter, it was referred to the Joint Advisory Board of the United Unions of New York, Brooklyn and New Jersey. On the 10th, this body decided to order the factory out on strike on the ground that the agreement was broken. This was to be on Saturday, March 12. Early in the morning, at 7 o'clock, the pickets were there, who notified everyone who came to get work that the factory was closed, and invited them to a meeting at 3 p. m. The men did not want to go into the factory on that Saturday; but Plisco succeeded in driving them in, and he kept them at work till 1 p. m. He then dismissed them with the remark that they should now go to the meeting.

That was done, Bennett, Vice-President of the Joint Advisory Board, laid the matter before the men, and it was unanimously decided to uphold the strike until the reduction was taken back.

ON MONDAY, MARCH 14, IN THE MORNING, BENNETT AND LANGE (UNION 90) AND KATZ (UNION 141) AND ALSO A PARTY MEMBER SPOKE TO SEVERAL OF THE MEN EMPLOYED ON THE "KELLY FLOOR," EXPLAINING THE SITUATION TO THEM. THEY DECLARED THAT THAT DID NOT BELONG TO THE ALLIANCE. THEY WERE TOLD THE principle of Socialism did not consist in underbidding other workmen in the struggle for wages. IT HAD NO EFFECT: THEY WENT TO WORK.

Thereupon, at about 10 a. m., there appeared at the meeting of the strikers a "Committee" from the so-called Kelly floor, among them a man who had been in the morning had received all information from Bennett, Lange and Katz. For this reason, and also because they appeared with instructions from Plisco, their organizer, [they were] not to be received.

It must not be forgotten in this connection that the men employed on the Kelly floor organized themselves at the instigation of Plisco. On March 13, the names were taken at the factory, and they were told that on March 17 they should appear before Pioneer Alliance No. 141 and there receive their books.

These are the plain facts, without ornament or coloring. It is the truth and only the truth; no one, whoever he may be, can gainsay them. If, nevertheless, the attempt is made, then I shall be glad to bring before the party comrades, and at the same time, I promise that I shall be simply, matter of fact, because he, who has truth on his side, does not need to throw mud or to bestow insulting epithets upon others.

With Socialist greetings, I. BENNETT.

On Monday morning, March 14, Comrade Bennett sent me to do picket duty with him and other members of the I. C. M. U. The cigar-makers went into the factory, and I was told they were the members of the

These are the plain facts, without ornament or coloring. It is the truth and only the truth; no one, whoever he may be, can gainsay them. If, nevertheless, the attempt is made, then I shall be glad to bring before the party comrades, and at the same time, I promise that I shall be simply, matter of fact, because he, who has truth on his side, does not need to throw mud or to bestow insulting epithets upon others.

With Socialist greetings, I. BENNETT.

[Letter from Rudolph Katz in N. Y. "Volkszeitung" of March 24.]

Last Thursday, there appeared under "Voices from the People" a communication from I. Bennett in which my name is used in a manner as though I accepted as true all that Bennett asserts. This is not the case.

On Monday morning, March 14, Comrade Bennett sent me to do picket duty with him and other members of the I. C. M. U. The cigar-makers went into the factory, and I was told they were the members of the

Three of the striking Ladies, very active in the meetings, and also serving as pickets, were the most dangerous on account of their influence upon the strikers. Could they be induced, to go to work, all the others would follow, and the collapse of the strike would be inevitable. Mr. Jack Brittan was supplied with money, to bring about this result. And he and his mate Joe Cohen invited the three girls, treated them with wine and food, and told them about all the nice and sweet things, they would get,—if they only went to work.

Then the girls, who had listened very attentively to the promises, in a confidential tone inquired, what reward awaited their hosts, and received this information: Jack Brittan, "A FOREMAN-SHIP." Joe Cohen, "A FOREMAN-SHIP." But the girls remained faithful and refused, though new dresses and the like were lavishly promised.

There you have a true picture of the men, who wrote "The Seidenberg Spectre." Can you believe anything they say? By order of Union 90.

A. NEGENDANK, H. E. ADAM, HERM. WOLTER, The Committee.

## DOCUMENT XI.

THE SEIDENBERG SPECTRE CASTS A SPUTTER.

[THE PEOPLE, July 3, 1898.]

TO THE PEOPLE.—Among the "labor leaders" who manage to make a fine living out of the dues and assessments paid by the International Cigar-makers of New York, there is one Prince. It is essential to his living to denounce the S. T. & L. A.; that has become one of his "features." It was he, for instance, who, together with Dan Harris, tried to induce the "Spanish Workers" to disrupt the Alliance of the Shoe-workers. In pursuit of his and other fakirs' policy the Alliance men must be always spoken of as scabs, in the way they seek to discredit the party itself in the public eye. This policy they pursued in the Seidenberg strike.

This Prince is now reaping his reward for traducing honest New York Unionists and the party. He applied for the blue label, and the Label Committee granted it to him, although he is not entitled to it. Prince is a manufacturer of cigars, but he also works here one Prince. It is essential to his living to denounce the S. T. & L. A.; that has become one of his "features." It was he, for instance, who, together with Dan Harris, tried to induce the "Spanish Workers" to disrupt the Alliance of the Shoe-workers. In pursuit of his and other fakirs' policy the Alliance men must be always spoken of as scabs, in the way they seek to discredit the party itself in the public eye. This policy they pursued in the Seidenberg strike.

A peculiar circumstance connected with this incident is that, although Bennett was censured for this action at the Board meeting of No. 90, the censure was suppressed (by whom?) from the Board's report.

Member of Union 141, I. C. M. U.

New York, June 28.

## DOCUMENT XII.

[Publication refused by National Executive Committee, S. T. & L. A., on July 12, "for the reason that it was improper in tone."—THE PEOPLE, July 24.]

(Verbatim.)

A FELINE "SPUTTER."

On July 3, "The People" under the heading: "The Seidenberg Spectre casts a Sputter," brings a correspondence from one R. Katz of Union 141, I. C. M. U. in throwing mud on Union 90 and its conduct, the venture the statement that Bennett was censured at the Board Meeting of Union for granting the blue label to one Prince, and this censure was suppressed (by whom?) from the Board's report.

The facts are thus: In a discussion of the report of the Label Committee, Bennett was censured by some members individually; the Board having no action at all and no action to impart a censure to its report. Now, Katz, stop "sputtering," or you'll be "suppressed."

By order of Union 90.

A. NEGENDANK, H. E. ADAM, HERM. WOLTER, The Committee.

## DOCUMENT XIII.

[Publication refused by National Executive Committee, S. T. & L. A., on July 12, "for the reason that it contained no refutation of the statement advanced in the communication which it attacks, as called for by the decision of the N. E. C. of June 7."—THE PEOPLE, July 24.]

(Verbatim.)

THE SEIDENBERG SPECTRE ETC.

Under the above heading "The People" of May 22 contains a correspondence entirely misrepresenting the actual facts in the Seidenberg strike. It is therefore misleading and encouraging the poor wage-slaves to go scabbing in Bondy and Lederer's shop, who were at that time about four weeks on strike for better wages.

As the facts are well known to the trade, there would be no necessity, to explain the matter; but on account of some misrepresentations being circulated we will give a short history of the strike. The application for a Strike in Seidenberg's factory was made against a reduction, in the firm paid only \$3.50 per mille, while the price for the same work was \$4.00. The strike took place in the month of February, and lasted only a few days. The firm agreed, to do away with this work, but in case of necessity, to pay the price called for.

But after a few months the firm made an attempt to get the very same goods made still cheaper. The Superintendent looked upon the fact that the amount of Cigarette-makers some 15 men, willing to do this work for \$3.00. (On account of this action the men were promptly suspended from their Union.) Under those conditions on the 12th of April the Cigarette-makers' Union, which was the only one of the kind, was formed.

As the firm by this action had broken the agreement made, the shop was called on strike again. When strikes break out, to repel a reduction in wages it is customary, to make an attempt to gain further advances, that may recommend themselves. But claims of this kind are regarded as "individual demands," and are not supported by the International Union. The men who signed their names to that correspondence: It will show, which will further their selfish ends. As the facts are well known to the trade, there would be no necessity, to explain the matter; but on account of some misrepresentations being circulated we will give a short history of the strike. The application for a Strike in Seidenberg's factory was made against a reduction, in the firm paid only \$3.50 per mille, while the price for the same work was \$4.00. The strike took place in the month of February, and lasted only a few days. The firm agreed, to do away with this work, but in case of necessity, to pay the price called for.

But after a few months the firm made an attempt to get the very same goods made still cheaper. The Superintendent looked upon the fact that the amount of Cigarette-makers some 15 men, willing to do this work for \$3.00. (On account of this action the men were promptly suspended from their Union.) Under those conditions on the 12th of April the Cigarette-makers' Union, which was the only one of the kind, was formed.

As the firm by this action had broken the agreement made, the shop was called on strike again. When strikes break out, to repel a reduction in wages it is customary, to make an attempt to gain further advances, that may recommend themselves. But claims of this kind are regarded as "individual demands," and are not supported by the International Union. The men who signed their names to that correspondence: It will show, which will further their selfish ends. As the facts are well known to the trade, there would be no necessity, to explain the matter; but on account of some misrepresentations being circulated we will give a short history of the strike. The application for a Strike in Seidenberg's factory was made against a reduction, in the firm paid only \$3.50 per mille, while the price for the same work was \$4.00. The strike took place in the month of February, and lasted only a few days. The firm agreed, to do away with this work, but in case of necessity, to pay the price called for.

But after a few months the firm made an attempt to get the very same goods made still cheaper. The Superintendent looked upon the fact that the amount of Cigarette-makers some 15 men, willing to do this work for \$3.00. (On account of this action the men were promptly suspended from their Union.) Under those conditions on the 12th of April the Cigarette-makers' Union, which was the only one of the kind, was formed.

As the firm by this action had broken the agreement made, the shop was called on strike again. When strikes break out, to repel a reduction in wages it is customary, to make an attempt to gain further advances, that may recommend themselves. But claims of this kind are regarded as "individual demands," and are not supported by the International Union. The men who signed their names to that correspondence: It will show, which will further their selfish ends. As the facts are well known to the trade, there would be no necessity, to explain the matter; but on account of some misrepresentations being circulated we will give a short history of the strike. The application for a Strike in Seidenberg's factory was made against a reduction, in the firm paid only \$3.50 per mille, while the price for the same work was \$4.00. The strike took place in the month of February, and lasted only a few days. The firm agreed, to do away with this work, but in case of necessity, to pay the price called for.

But after a few months the firm made an attempt to get the very same goods made still cheaper. The Superintendent looked upon the fact that the amount of Cigarette-makers some 15 men, willing to do this work for \$3.00. (On account of this action the men were promptly suspended from their Union.) Under those conditions on the 12th of April the Cigarette-makers' Union, which was the only one of the kind, was formed.

As the firm by this action had broken the agreement made, the shop was called on strike again. When strikes break out, to repel a reduction in wages it is customary, to make an attempt to gain further advances, that may recommend themselves. But claims of this kind are regarded as "individual demands," and are not supported by the International Union. The men who signed their names to that correspondence: It will show, which will further their selfish ends. As the facts are well known to the trade, there would be no necessity, to explain the matter; but on account of some misrepresentations being circulated we will give a short history of the strike. The application for a Strike in Seidenberg's factory was made against a reduction, in the firm paid only \$3.50 per mille, while the price for the same work was \$4.00. The strike took place in the month of February, and lasted only a few days. The firm agreed, to do away with this work, but in case of necessity, to pay the price called for.